# STAT 302: Linear Regression with Factors

#### Sheridan Grant

University of Washington Statistics Department

slgstats@uw.edu

May 4, 2020

In the April 29 class, we discussed what might happen if the sex variable in the SAT data had more than two possible responses. Suppose 0 == male, 1 == female, and 2 == other/no response. We make this assumption in class for pedagogical reasons, but real-life justifications for this might be:

- Breaking response 2 down further might make model coefficients impossible to estimate, if there are too few responses in each group.
- If the reasons we expect GPA to vary by sex—probably societal factors, including discrimination—affect members of the 2 response group similarly (i.e. trans discrimination), then a single coefficient for this group is reasonable.

Suppose for the "sex" variable S = male, 1 = female, and 2 == other/no response. The response, FYGPA, is Y and the other covariate, HSGPA, is X. We fit the model

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_X X + \beta_S S + \epsilon.$$

Then the expected difference in Y between a student with S = 0 and a student with S = 2, holding X fixed, is  $2\beta_S$ —twice the expected difference between S = 1 and S = 2. Why should we assume this? If we switched the coding for male/female, then the modeling assumption would be different—isn't that dumb? (Yes.)

- 1. Pick a level of the factor variable to be the "baseline" (irrelevant mathematically, but helpful for interpretation).
- 2. If there are k levels, define binary variables  $S_1, \ldots, S_{k-1}$  where  $S_j$  is 1 if S is at the *j*th level, and the kth level is baseline.  $(S_1 = \mathbf{1}[\text{female}], S_2 = \mathbf{1}[\text{other/no response}].)$
- 3. Model  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_X X + \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_2 + \epsilon$  (for our hypothetical SAT data).

In R, just do sat\$sex <- as.factor(sat\$sex), specify the order of the levels with the levels argument.

## Dummy Variables and One-Hot Encoding

### $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_X X + \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_2 + \epsilon$

- Expected college GPA for male student with HS GPA x:  $\beta_0 + \beta_X x$
- Expected college GPA for female student with HS GPA *x*:  $\beta_0 + \beta_X x + \beta_1$
- Expected difference between male and female students (female-male), holding HS GPA fixed: subtract the previous two bullets to get β<sub>1</sub>
- Expected difference between female and other/no response students (female - other/no response)?

### $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_X X + \beta_1 S_1 + \beta_2 S_2 + \epsilon$

- Expected college GPA for male student with HS GPA x:  $\beta_0 + \beta_X x$
- Expected college GPA for female student with HS GPA x: β<sub>0</sub> + β<sub>X</sub>x + β<sub>1</sub>
- Expected difference between male and female students (female-male), holding HS GPA fixed: subtract the previous two bullets to get β<sub>1</sub>
- Expected difference between female and other/no response students (female - other/no response)? Just do (female - male) - (other/no response - male) to get β<sub>1</sub> - β<sub>2</sub>.